R3 Solution. Reinforced Hollow Concrete Blockwork Column Subjected To Uniaxial Bending

The exposure situation given is MX2 and therefore 25mm minimum concrete cover to main reinforcing steel is required from Table NA.9 of the UK National Annex (stainless steel will be used in column links which are partially bedded in mortar and in concrete infill and so 20mm minimum cover is appropriate to links to achieve bond and practical cover). Isolation of links and main steel required at isolated positions of contact by taping etc.

Figure 1: Position of reinforcement in column

The guidance in BS EN 1996-1-1 on the design of columns when the design axial load, \( \sigma_d \), exceeds 30% of the masonry design strength, \( f_d \), is limited for combined bending and axial loading and reference to clause 6.3.6.1 of PD 6697 is required.
The normalised compressive strength of the masonry unit is given by:

\[ f_b = 7.3 \text{ N/mm}^2 \times 1.0 \times 1.16 \]
\[ f_b = 8.47 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

where 1.0 is the conditioning factor and 1.16 is the shape factor both taken from BS EN 772 Part 1.

The strength of the unit based on the net area is calculated as:

\[ f_b = 8.47 \times 100\% / 70\% = 12.10 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

As this strength is lower than that of the concrete infill to be used the masonry is designed as having an equivalent gross compressive strength based on that of a solid masonry unit (Group 1 solid) with a normalised compressive strength equal to that of the net area block strength as \( f_b = 12.10 \text{ N/mm}^2 \).

Therefore \( f_k = Kf_b^{0.7} f_m^{0.3} \) where \( K = 0.75 \) from UK National Annex, thus:
\[ f_k = 0.8 \times 0.75 \times 12.10^{0.7} \times 6.0^{0.3} (0.8 \text{ reduction to } k \text{ because of mortar joints in masonry cross section}) \]
\[ f_k = 5.88 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Therefore \( f_d = f_k / y_M = 5.88 / 2.3 = 2.56 \text{ N/mm}^2 \) using category I units with class 1 execution control (\( y_M = 2.3 \) for the unreinforced section capacity check)

And \( \sigma_d = 375 \text{kN} \times 10^3 / 440 \times 440 = 1.94 / \text{mm}^2 \) (therefore \( \sigma_d = 0.76 f_d > 0.3 f_d \))

Assume top and bottom of columns have lateral supports restricting movement in both directions with effective height factor, \( \rho_2 = 1.0 \).

Therefore \( h_{ef} = 3000 \times 1.0 = 3000 \text{mm} \)
\( t_{ef} = \text{minimum thickness} = 440 \text{mm} \)

Slenderness ratio = 3000/440 = 6.82
This is less than 12, therefore column is short and can be designed using the additional principles from clause 6.3.6.1.1.1 of PD 6697.

Check whether only minimum reinforcement required from:
\[ N_{Rd} = f_d b (t_o - 2e_i) \] [from PD 6697]

Resultant eccentricity, \( e_i = (32.5 \times 10^6) / (375.0 \times 10^3) = 86.7 \text{mm} \)
And \( f_d = f_k / y_M = 5.88 / 2.0 = 2.94 \text{ N/mm}^2 \) (\( y_M = 2.0 \) for reinforced section capacity)
\[ N_{id} = 2.94 \times 440(440 - 2 \times 86.7) \times 10^{-3} \]
\[ N_{id} = 344.9 \text{kN} [299.9 \text{ for an unreinforced section with } y_M \text{ at } 2.3] \]
This is less than design vertical load \( N_{Ed} \) of 375kN, therefore designed reinforcement is required.

Consider stress distribution across section
\[ d_d = 2(220.0-86.7) = 266.6 \text{mm which lies between } (t-d_2) = 332.5 \text{mm and } t/2 = 220.0 \text{mm} \]
Therefore \( f_{d2} = 0.586 f_{yd} \) as \( f_{d2} \) varies linearly from 0 to \( f_{yd} \) between \( (t-d_2) \) and \( t/2 \)
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And \( d_d > 2d_1 \) min.(215mm).

Provide 2 no. 20mm diameter deformed Grade 500 steel bars each face (4no. total); area of 2 no. bars = 628\( \text{mm}^2 \)
And \( f_{yd} = 500/1,15 = 435 \text{N/mm}^2 \)

From:
\[
N_{Rd} = f_d b d_d + f_{d1} A_{s1} - f_{d2} A_{s2} \quad [\text{From PD 6697}]
\]
\[
N_{Rd} = (2,94 \times 440 \times 266,6 \times 10^{-3}) + (0,83 \times 435 \times 628 \times 10^{-3}) - (435 \times 0,586 \times 628 \times 10^{-3}) \quad [\text{using 0,83} f_{yk} / \gamma_M \text{as 0,83} f_{y}\text{d for steel in compression PD 6697}]
\]
\[
N_{Rd} = 344,9 + 226,7-160 \text{kN}
\]
\[
N_{Rd} = 411,5 \text{kN} > 375 \text{kN}
\]

From:
\[
M_{Rd} = 0,5 f_d b d_d (t_0 - d_d) + 0,83 f_{d1} A_{s1} (0,5t_1 - d_1) + f_{d2} A_{s2} (0,5t_2 - d_2)
\]
\[
M_{Rd} = (0,5 \times 2,94 \times 440 \times 266,6 \times (440 - 266,6) \times 10^{-6}) + (0,83 \times 435 \times 628 \times (0,5 \times 440 - 107,5) \times 10^{-6}) + (435 \times 0,586 \times 628 \times (0,5 \times 440 - 107,5) \times 10^{-6}) \quad [\text{using 0,83} f_{yk} / \gamma_M \text{as 0,83} f_{yd} \text{for steel in compression PD 6697}]
\]
\[
M_{Rd} = 29,9 + 25,5 + 18,0
\]
\[
M_{Rd} = 73,4 \text{kN.m} > 32,5 \text{kN.m}
\]
Thus \( N_{Rd} \) exceeds \( N_{Ed} \) and \( M_{Rd} \) exceeds \( M_{Ed} \) and the section is therefore adequate.

Column link reinforcement:
\( A_s = 4 \times 314 = 1256 \text{mm}^2 \) for main vertical steel
Therefore steel % of section = \((1256 \times 100 / 440 \times 440) = 0,65\% \) which is greater than 0,25\%
And vertical design load % = \((375,0 \times 100 / 411,5) = 91,1\% \) which is greater than 25\%

From Clause 8.2.6 links are required with vertical spacing as lesser of:
a) \( 440 \text{ mm} \)
b) \( 300 \text{ mm} \)
c) \( 12 \times 20 = 240 \text{mm} \) which therefore governs

Provide 6mm diameter plain bar strength Grade 200 Austenitic stainless steel column links at 225 mm vertical spacing to suit block coursing dimensions.

If actual and the effective height of column are increased to 6,0m
Slenderness ratio becomes \( 6000/440 = 13,6 \) and column becomes slender that is slenderness ratio greater than 12, but less than the limiting value of 27.
An additional moment, \( M_{ad} \), must therefore be allowed for as:
\[
M_{ad} = N_{Ed} h_{ef}^2 / 2000 \quad \text{Eqn. 6.26}
\]
\[
M_{ad} = (375,0 \times 6,0^2 / 2000 \times 0,440)
\]
\[
M_{ad} = 15,3 \text{ kN.m}
\]
Design moment $M_{Ed}$ becomes $32.5 + 15.3 = 47.8 \text{kN.m}$ in the direction of the external bending moment being applied.

As the column is now slender it will be necessary to reconsider the design as a biaxial bending member following the guidance in PD 6697. The effect of the slender column may therefore be to adjust the section design in respect of the masonry unit strength required (increased), to increase the size of the steel reinforcement required or to increase the column cross section- or a combination of these.